



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/980,475		12/03/2001	Akinori Arimura	0032-0264P	64P 3377	
2292	7590	12/13/2004		EXAMINER		
		Γ KOLASCH & BII	RAO, DEEPAK R			
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH		VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1624		
				DATE MAIL ED: 12/13/200/	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/980,475	ARIMURA ET AL.	\vec{j}					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
·	Deepak Rao	1624						
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		ith the correspondence addre	ss					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of this eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.					
Status	,							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	25 October 2004.							
	This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	•							
4)	ndrawn from consideration. 4 8 /are rejected.	tion.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Sta	ge .					
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Si Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 25, 2004 has been entered.

Claims 1-4, 6-7, 11-12, 14-16, 18, 20 and 24 are pending in this application.

Withdrawn Rejections/Objections:

Applicant is notified that any outstanding rejection/objection that is not expressly maintained in this office action has been withdrawn or rendered moot in view of applicant's amendments and/or remarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4, 6-7, 11-12, 14-16, 18, 20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims recite "A method for treating comprising inhibiting the differentiation from Th0 cells to Th2 cells by administering a compound", however, the claims do not recite 'what is being administered'. The specification on page 55, lines 1-6 appears to indicate that the compound is administered to "a patient". The claims read clearly if amended as "A method for treating by administering to a patient in need thereof a compound". Appropriate amendment is suggested for all independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 6, 11, 12 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowe et al., WO 98/24766. The reference teaches phenyl-pyridyl compounds, see formula (I)

Application/Control Number: 09/980,475

Art Unit: 1624

and the corresponding species, e.g., Example 1, 2, etc. (Example 1 is depicted below for convenience).

The reference compounds are taught to be useful as pharmaceutical therapeutic agents for the treatment of asthma, ulcerative colitis, rheumatoid arthritis, etc., see the disclosure in pages 4-6. The instant claims are drawn to a compound of formula (Ib) for the treatment of diseases such as ulcerative colitis, rheumatoid arthritis, etc. In structural formula (Ib) the terminal phenyl ring is attached to $-X^1-Y^1$ wherein X^1 can be $-CH_2$ - and Y^1 can be an optionally substituted alkyl; and the pyridine ring C is attached to $-X^2-Y^2$ wherein X^2 can be -NH- and Y^2 is alkyl, e.g., methyl. In other words, the instantly claimed compounds have a -NH-CH₃ group in place of $-NH_2$ of the reference compounds. Therefore, the instantly claimed compounds differ from the reference compounds by a $-CH_2$ group and it is well established that compounds that differ by a $-CH_2$ group are structural homologs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the reference compounds to prepare the structural homolog. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to prepare the instantly claimed compounds because such structurally homologous compounds are expected to possess similar properties. It has been held that compounds that are structurally homologous

Application/Control Number: 09/980,475

Art Unit: 1624

to prior art compounds are *prima facie* obvious, absent a showing of unexpected results. *In re Hass*, 60 USPQ 544 (CCPA 1944); *In re Henze*, 85 USPQ 261 (CCPA 1950).

Secondary versus primary amines are homologues. *Ex parte Bluestone*, 135 USPQ 199, and *In re Doebel*, 179 USPQ 158 affirm that N-CH₃ is obvious over N-H. *In re Hoeksema*, 154 USPQ 169 regarding secondary and primary amines being homologues, indicate that "...a chemist looking at the formula for another compound which differs so slightly that it is called a homolog generally expects the second compound to have properties similar to the first one."

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4, 7, 18 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. Claims 14-16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deepak Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0672. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Mukund Shah, can be reached on (571) 262-0674. If you are unable to reach Dr. Shah within a 24 hour period, please contact James O. Wilson, Acting-SPE of 1624 at (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 09/980,475

Art Unit: 1624

0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deepak Rao Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

December 10, 2004